



GEF-6 GEF SECRETARIAT REVIEW FOR FULL-SIZED/MEDIUM-SIZED PROJECTS THE GEF/LDCF/SCCF TRUST FUND

GEF ID:	10051		
Country/Region:	Djibouti		
Project Title:	Promoting a Better Access to Modern Energy Services through Sustainable Mini-grids and Hybrid Technologies in Djibouti		
GEF Agency:	UNDP	GEF Agency Project ID:	6202 (UNDP)
Type of Trust Fund:	GEF Trust Fund	GEF Focal Area (s):	Climate Change
GEF-6 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s):	CCM-1 Program 1;		
Anticipated Financing PPG:	\$50,000	Project Grant:	\$863,242
Co-financing:	\$4,000,000	Total Project Cost:	\$4,913,242
PIF Approval:	May 18, 2018	Council Approval/Expected:	
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:	
Program Manager:	Ming Yang	Agency Contact Person:	Saliou Toure

PIF Review			
Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
Project Consistency	1. Is the project aligned with the relevant GEF strategic objectives and results framework? ¹	4/18/2018 MY: Yes, it is aligned with CCM-1: Technology Transfer, and Supportive Policies and Strategies; Program 1: Promote timely development, demonstration and financing of low-carbon technologies and mitigation options	
	2. Is the project consistent with the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions?	4/18/2018 MY: Yes, it is stated on pages 17-18.	
Project Design	3. Does the PIF sufficiently indicate the	4/18/2018 MY:	

PIF Review

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
	drivers ² of global environmental degradation, issues of sustainability, market transformation, scaling, and innovation?	Yes, on pages 4, 13-14.	
	4. Is the project designed with sound incremental reasoning?	4/18/2018 MY: Yes. It is shown on pages 12 and 13.	
	5. Are the components in Table B sound and sufficiently clear and appropriate to achieve project objectives and the GEBs?	4/18/2018 MY: Not completed at this time. The Table is well designed, but the PM would propose to add one more task in the Table and in the text of the PIF as well. Per the PIF, the key driver of power generation capacity shortage is that the tariffs in the power system are government controlled and are generally low. The private sector does not have incentive to invest in power supply side under the low electricity prices/tariffs. In the meantime, many commercial firms (electricity end users) cannot get sufficient power supply. Can the Agency help the government to develop a national policy to resolve this issue via this GEF project? For example, a policy can require a power utility company to accept electricity with high tariffs from a power generation company and provide the electricity to a commercial end-user with high tariffs.	

¹ For BD projects: has the project explicitly articulated which Aichi Target(s) the project will help achieve and are SMART indicators identified, that will be used to track the project's contribution toward achieving the Aichi Target(s)?

² Need not apply to LDCF/SCCF projects.

PIF Review

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
		4/24/2018 MY: Yes, comments were addressed.	
	6. Are socio-economic aspects, including relevant gender elements, indigenous people, and CSOs considered?	4/18/2018 MY: Not completed. Please indicate if and how this project is related to indigenous people. 4/24/2018 MY: Yes, comments were addressed.	
Availability of Resources	7. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply):		
	• The STAR allocation?	4/18/2018 MY: Yes.	
	• The focal area allocation?	4/18/2018 MY: Yes.	
	• The LDCF under the principle of equitable access	4/18/2018 MY: Not applicable.	
	• The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)?	4/18/2018 MY: Not applicable.	
	• Focal area set-aside?	4/18/2018 MY: Not applicable.	
Recommendations	8. Is the PIF being recommended for clearance and PPG (if additional amount beyond the norm) justified?	4/18/2018 MY: Not yet. Please address comments in boxes 5 and 6. In addition, please revise the following sentence in the second last paragraph on page 4: "Thus, the installed capacity in Djibouti is about 120 MW: 20 MW locally produced"	

PIF Review			
Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
		<p>and 100 MW imported from Ethiopia!" It is not correct. Finally, the PM would like to thank the project developer for the well-written PIF.</p> <p>4/24/2018 MY: yes, all comments were addressed and the issues were cleared. The PM recommends PIF technical clearance. In the CEO ER stage, please add one more component and budget it: M&E.</p>	
Review Date	Review	April 18, 2018	
	Additional Review (as necessary)	April 24, 2018	
	Additional Review (as necessary)		

CEO endorsement Review			
Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement	Response to Secretariat comments
Project Design and Financing	1. If there are any changes from that presented in the PIF, have justifications been provided?		

CEO endorsement Review

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement	Response to Secretariat comments
	2. Is the project structure/ design appropriate to achieve the expected outcomes and outputs?		
	3. Is the financing adequate and does the project demonstrate a cost-effective approach to meet the project objective?		
	4. Does the project take into account potential major risks, including the consequences of climate change, and describes sufficient risk response measures? (e.g., measures to enhance climate resilience)		
	5. Is co-financing confirmed and evidence provided?		
	6. Are relevant tracking tools completed?		
	7. <i>Only for Non-Grant Instrument:</i> Has a reflow calendar been presented?		
	8. Is the project coordinated with other related initiatives and national/regional plans in the country or in the region?		
	9. Does the project include a budgeted M&E Plan that monitors and measures results with indicators and targets?		
	10. Does the project have descriptions of a knowledge management plan?		

CEO endorsement Review

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment at CEO Endorsement	Response to Secretariat comments
Agency Responses	11. Has the Agency adequately responded to comments at the PIF ³ stage from:		
	• GEFSEC		
	• STAP		
	• GEF Council		
	• Convention Secretariat		
Recommendation	12. Is CEO endorsement recommended?		
Review Date	Review		
	Additional Review (as necessary)		
	Additional Review (as necessary)		

³ If it is a child project under a program, assess if the components of the child project align with the program criteria set for selection of child projects.